

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/869,327	07/25/2001	Masaru Yoshitake	211908US0PCT	5725
22850	7590 11/05/2003		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			WEINER, LAURA S	
	RIA, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1745	
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			608			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/869,327	YOSHITAKE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Laura S Weiner	1745			
T	he MAILING DATE of this communication a eply	ppears on the cover sh	t with the correspondence address			
A SHOR THE MAI - Extension after SIX (- If the peric - If NO peri - Failure to - Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION s of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 16) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. od for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statureceived by the Office later than three months after the mail tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. .136(a). In no event, however, ma eply within the statutory minimum o d will apply and will expire SIX (6) tte, cause the application to becom	ny a reply be timely filed If thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BERNATIONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25</u>	<u>July 2001</u> .				
2a) <u> </u>	nis action is FINAL . 2b) 🗌 🗆	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Cla	aim(s) $1-7$ and $10-25$ is/are pending in the	application.				
4a)	Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.				
5) <u></u> Cla	aim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)□ Cla	aim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Cla	aim(s) <u>1-7 and 10-25</u> are subject to restrict	tion and/or election requ	irement.			
Application	Papers		•			
<i>,</i> —	specification is objected to by the Examir					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
,—	oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
•	er 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ <i>A</i>	All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2.[_ , , ,					
3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
] The translation of the foreign language p nowledgment is made of a claim for dome					
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/869,327

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species 1, Claims 3, 24, Formula 1

Species 2, Claims 3, 24, Formula 2

Species 3, Claims 3, 24, Formula 3

Species 4, Claims 3, 24, Formula 4

Species 5, Claims 4, 25, Formula 5 (not labeled)

Species 6, Claims 4, 25, Formula 6 (not labeled)

Species 13, Claims 4, 25, Formula 13

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/869,327

Art Unit: 1745

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Species 1 through Species 13

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-2, 5-7, 10 (depending on rejected claims), 11-13, 14-16 (Species 1-4), 17-19 (Species 5-13), 20-23.

- 3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Applicant has not provided evidence to show that the solvent-soluble fluorine-containing polymer is novel and contains a corresponding special technical feature. For example US 6,087,032 teaches that Formulas 4 and 5 are known in a polymer electrolyte fuel cell. Therefore, Species 1-13 does not provide any contribution over the prior art.
- 4. A telephone call was made on October 30, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/869,327

Art Unit: 1745

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura S Weiner whose telephone number is 703-308-4396. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 703-308-2383. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Laura S Weiner Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1745

November 5, 2003